On the Computability and Reducibility of Approximable Real Numbers

Xizhong Zheng

Department of Computer Science and Mathematics Arcadia University Glenside, USA

> 6 - 11 August 2017, Kloster Hiddensee. Germany

- A real x is computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x effectively, i.e., $|x_s x_{s+1}| \le 2^{-s}$. (EC class of effectively computable reals)
- x is computable iff
 - its Dedekind cut $D_x := \{r \in \mathbf{Q} : r < x\}$ is computable
 - its binary expansion A is computable, where $x = x_A := \sum_{i \in A} 2^{-(i+1)}$ (x = 0.A)
 - continued fractions
 - nested intervals
- EC is a field.
- EC is closed under computable real functions (computable operations).

- A real x is computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x effectively, i.e., $|x_s x_{s+1}| \le 2^{-s}$. (EC class of effectively computable reals)
- x is computable iff
 - its Dedekind cut $D_x := \{r \in \mathbf{Q} : r < x\}$ is computable
 - its binary expansion A is computable, where $x = x_A := \sum_{i \in A} 2^{-(i+1)}$ (x = 0.A)
 - continued fractions
 - nested intervals
 -
- EC is a field.
- EC is closed under computable real functions (computable operations).

- A real x is computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x effectively, i.e., $|x_s x_{s+1}| \le 2^{-s}$. (EC class of effectively computable reals)
- x is computable iff
 - its Dedekind cut $D_x := \{r \in \mathbf{Q} : r < x\}$ is computable
 - its binary expansion A is computable, where $x = x_A := \sum_{i \in A} 2^{-(i+1)}$ (x = 0.A)
 - continued fractions
 - nested intervals
- EC is a field.
- EC is closed under computable real functions (computable operations).

- A real x is computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x effectively, i.e., $|x_s x_{s+1}| \le 2^{-s}$. (EC class of effectively computable reals)
- x is computable iff
 - its Dedekind cut $D_x := \{r \in \mathbf{Q} : r < x\}$ is computable
 - its binary expansion A is computable, where $x = x_A := \sum_{i \in A} 2^{-(i+1)}$ (x = 0.A)
 - continued fractions
 - nested intervals
- EC is a field.
- EC is closed under computable real functions (computable operations).

- x is c.e. (co-c.e.) if there is a computable increasing (decreasing) sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x.
- (Calude, Hertling, Khoussainov and Wang 2001) x_A is c.e. iff A is strongly ω -c.e., i.e. A has a computable approximation (A_s) of finite sets such that

 $(\forall n)(\forall s)(n \in A_s \setminus A_{s+1} \Longrightarrow (\exists m < n)(m \in A_{s+1} \setminus A_s)$

- If x_A is c.e. and additionally that A is d-c.e. or h-c.e., then it is stably c.e. (Soare 1969), or h-stably c.e. (Weihrauch and Z. 1997). There is an Ershov's hierarchy of h-stably c.e. reals up to the level of 2^n -stably c.e.
- If x_A is c.e. A is c.e., then x_A is strongly c.e. (Downey and Hu 2003), and x is k-strongly c.e. if it is the sum of k strongly c.e. reals. x is regular if it is k-strongly c.e. for some k. The hierarchy theorem holds.

- x is c.e. (co-c.e.) if there is a computable increasing (decreasing) sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x.
- (Calude, Hertling, Khoussainov and Wang 2001) x_A is c.e. iff A is strongly ω -c.e., i.e. A has a computable approximation (A_s) of finite sets such that

$$(\forall n)(\forall s)(n \in A_s \setminus A_{s+1} \Longrightarrow (\exists m < n)(m \in A_{s+1} \setminus A_s))$$

- If x_A is c.e. and additionally that A is d-c.e. or h-c.e., then it is stably c.e. (Soare 1969), or h-stably c.e. (Weihrauch and Z. 1997). There is an Ershov's hierarchy of h-stably c.e. reals up to the level of 2^n -stably c.e.
- If x_A is c.e. A is c.e., then x_A is strongly c.e. (Downey and Hu 2003), and x is k-strongly c.e. if it is the sum of k strongly c.e. reals. x is regular if it is k-strongly c.e. for some k. The hierarchy theorem holds.

- x is c.e. (co-c.e.) if there is a computable increasing (decreasing) sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x.
- (Calude, Hertling, Khoussainov and Wang 2001) x_A is c.e. iff A is strongly ω -c.e., i.e. A has a computable approximation (A_s) of finite sets such that

$$(\forall n)(\forall s)(n \in A_s \setminus A_{s+1} \Longrightarrow (\exists m < n)(m \in A_{s+1} \setminus A_s)$$

- If x_A is c.e. and additionally that A is d-c.e. or h-c.e., then it is stably c.e. (Soare 1969), or h-stably c.e. (Weihrauch and Z. 1997). There is an Ershov's hierarchy of h-stably c.e. reals up to the level of 2^n -stably c.e.
- If x_A is c.e. A is c.e., then x_A is strongly c.e. (Downey and Hu 2003), and x is k-strongly c.e. if it is the sum of k strongly c.e. reals. x is regular if it is k-strongly c.e. for some k. The hierarchy theorem holds.

- x is c.e. (co-c.e.) if there is a computable increasing (decreasing) sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x.
- (Calude, Hertling, Khoussainov and Wang 2001) x_A is c.e. iff A is strongly ω -c.e., i.e. A has a computable approximation (A_s) of finite sets such that

$$(\forall n)(\forall s)(n \in A_s \setminus A_{s+1} \Longrightarrow (\exists m < n)(m \in A_{s+1} \setminus A_s))$$

- If x_A is c.e. and additionally that A is d-c.e. or h-c.e., then it is stably c.e. (Soare 1969), or h-stably c.e. (Weihrauch and Z. 1997). There is an Ershov's hierarchy of h-stably c.e. reals up to the level of 2^n -stably c.e.
- If x_A is c.e. A is c.e., then x_A is strongly c.e. (Downey and Hu 2003), and x is k-strongly c.e. if it is the sum of k strongly c.e. reals. x is regular if it is k-strongly c.e. for some k. The hierarchy theorem holds.

• x is semi-computable if it is either c.e. or co-c.e.

• x is semi-computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x (1-)monotonically: $|x - x_t| \le |x - x_s|$ for all $t \ge s$.

- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) If $x_{A \oplus \overline{B}}$ is semi-computable and A, B are c.e. sets, then A and B must be Turing comparable.
- There are (strongly) c.e. reals x and y such that x y is not semi-computable.

- x is semi-computable if it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- x is semi-computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x (1-)monotonically: $|x x_t| \le |x x_s|$ for all $t \ge s$.

- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) If $x_{A \oplus \overline{B}}$ is semi-computable and A, B are c.e. sets, then A and B must be Turing comparable.
- There are (strongly) c.e. reals x and y such that x y is not semi-computable.

- x is semi-computable if it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- x is semi-computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x (1-)monotonically: $|x x_t| \le |x x_s|$ for all $t \ge s$.

- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) If $x_{A \oplus \overline{B}}$ is semi-computable and A, B are c.e. sets , then A and B must be Turing comparable.
- There are (strongly) c.e. reals x and y such that x y is not semi-computable.

- x is semi-computable if it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- x is semi-computable if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x (1-)monotonically: $|x x_t| \le |x x_s|$ for all $t \ge s$.

- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) If $x_{A \oplus \overline{B}}$ is semi-computable and A, B are c.e. sets, then A and B must be Turing comparable.
- There are (strongly) c.e. reals x and y such that x y is not semi-computable.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- **SC** \subseteq **DCE** and **DCE** is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- SC \subsetneq DCE and DCE is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- SC \subsetneq DCE and DCE is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- SC \subsetneq DCE and DCE is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- SC \subsetneq DCE and DCE is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- SC \subsetneq DCE and DCE is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.

- x is d.c.e. if x = y z for two c.e. reals y and z.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch, Z. 2000) x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rational numbers which converges to x weakly effectively, i.e. $\sum |x_s x_{s+1}|$ is finite.
- SC \subsetneq DCE and DCE is a field.
- x is d.c.e. iff there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x c.e. bounded., i.e., $|x x_s| \leq \sum_{i \geq s} \delta_i$, where (δ_i) is a computable positive rationals with a finite sum $\sum \delta_i$.
- (Rettinger, Z. 2005) If x is c.e. and random, then it is either c.e. or co-c.e.
- (Ambos-Spies, Weihrauch Z. 2000) If x_{2A} is d.c.e., then A must be a 2^{3n} -c.e. set.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) There is a c.e. real x and a computable total real function f such that f(x) is not d.c.e.



- x is dbc (divergence bounded computable) iff there is a dce real y and a total computable real function f such that x = f(y). DCE \subsetneq DBC.
- x is h-e.c. if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to xh-effectively, i.e., there are atmost h(n) non-overlapped index-pairs (i, j) with $i, j \ge n$ and $|x_i - x_j| \ge 2^{-n}$. (h-EC)
- x is bec (bounded effectively computable) if it is k-ec for some constant k. (BEC)
- (Z. 2008)
 - $k\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq (k+1)\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq \mathsf{BEC} \subsetneq \mathsf{DBC}$
 - $(\exists^{\infty} n)(f(n) < g(n)) \Longrightarrow g \text{-}\mathsf{EC} \not\subseteq f \text{-}\mathsf{EC}.$
 - If C is a class of functions which contains all constant functions and is closed under composition, then C-EC is a field.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) x is dbc iff there is a computable function h such that x is h-e.c.
- DBC and BEC are a fields and BEC \subsetneq DCE \subsetneq DBC.

- x is dbc (divergence bounded computable) iff there is a dce real y and a total computable real function f such that x = f(y). DCE \subsetneq DBC.
- x is h-e.c. if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x h-effectively, i.e., there are atmost h(n) non-overlapped index-pairs (i, j) with $i, j \ge n$ and $|x_i x_j| \ge 2^{-n}$. (h-EC)
- x is bec (bounded effectively computable) if it is k-ec for some constant k. (BEC)
- (Z. 2008)
 - $k\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq (k+1)\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq \mathsf{BEC} \subsetneq \mathsf{DBC}$
 - $(\exists^{\infty} n)(f(n) < g(n)) \Longrightarrow g \text{-}\mathsf{EC} \not\subseteq f \text{-}\mathsf{EC}.$
 - If C is a class of functions which contains all constant functions and is closed under composition, then C-EC is a field.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) x is dbc iff there is a computable function h such that x is h-e.c.
- DBC and BEC are a fields and BEC \subsetneq DCE \subsetneq DBC.

- x is dbc (divergence bounded computable) iff there is a dce real y and a total computable real function f such that x = f(y). DCE \subsetneq DBC.
- x is h-e.c. if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x h-effectively, i.e., there are atmost h(n) non-overlapped index-pairs (i, j) with $i, j \ge n$ and $|x_i x_j| \ge 2^{-n}$. (h-EC)
- x is bec (bounded effectively computable) if it is k-ec for some constant k. (BEC)
- (Z. 2008)
 - $k\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq (k+1)\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq \mathsf{BEC} \subsetneq \mathsf{DBC}$
 - $(\exists^{\infty} n)(f(n) < g(n)) \Longrightarrow g \text{-}\mathsf{EC} \not\subseteq f \text{-}\mathsf{EC}.$
 - If C is a class of functions which contains all constant functions and is closed under composition, then C-EC is a field.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) x is dbc iff there is a computable function h such that x is h-e.c.
- DBC and BEC are a fields and BEC \subsetneq DCE \subsetneq DBC.

- x is dbc (divergence bounded computable) iff there is a dce real y and a total computable real function f such that x = f(y). DCE \subsetneq DBC.
- x is h-e.c. if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x h-effectively, i.e., there are atmost h(n) non-overlapped index-pairs (i, j) with $i, j \ge n$ and $|x_i x_j| \ge 2^{-n}$. (h-EC)
- x is bec (bounded effectively computable) if it is k-ec for some constant k. (BEC)
- (Z. 2008)
 - $k\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq (k+1)\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq \mathsf{BEC} \subsetneq \mathsf{DBC}$
 - $\ (\exists^{\infty} n)(f(n) < g(n)) \Longrightarrow g\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \not\subseteq f\text{-}\mathsf{EC}.$
 - If C is a class of functions which contains all constant functions and is closed under composition, then C-EC is a field.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) x is dbc iff there is a computable function h such that x is h-e.c.
- DBC and BEC are a fields and BEC \subsetneq DCE \subsetneq DBC.

- x is dbc (divergence bounded computable) iff there is a dce real y and a total computable real function f such that x = f(y). DCE \subsetneq DBC.
- x is h-e.c. if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x h-effectively, i.e., there are atmost h(n) non-overlapped index-pairs (i, j) with $i, j \ge n$ and $|x_i x_j| \ge 2^{-n}$. (h-EC)
- x is bec (bounded effectively computable) if it is k-ec for some constant k. (BEC)
- (Z. 2008)
 - $k\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq (k+1)\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq \mathsf{BEC} \subsetneq \mathsf{DBC}$
 - $\ (\exists^{\infty} n)(f(n) < g(n)) \Longrightarrow g\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \not\subseteq f\text{-}\mathsf{EC}.$
 - If C is a class of functions which contains all constant functions and is closed under composition, then C-EC is a field.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) x is dbc iff there is a computable function h such that x is h-e.c.
- DBC and BEC are a fields and BEC \subsetneq DCE \subsetneq DBC.

- x is dbc (divergence bounded computable) iff there is a dce real y and a total computable real function f such that x = f(y). DCE \subsetneq DBC.
- x is h-e.c. if there is a computable sequence (x_s) of rationals which converges to x h-effectively, i.e., there are atmost h(n) non-overlapped index-pairs (i, j) with $i, j \ge n$ and $|x_i x_j| \ge 2^{-n}$. (h-EC)
- x is bec (bounded effectively computable) if it is k-ec for some constant k. (BEC)
- (Z. 2008)
 - $k\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq (k+1)\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \subsetneq \mathsf{BEC} \subsetneq \mathsf{DBC}$
 - $\ (\exists^{\infty} n)(f(n) < g(n)) \Longrightarrow g\text{-}\mathsf{EC} \not\subseteq f\text{-}\mathsf{EC}.$
 - If C is a class of functions which contains all constant functions and is closed under composition, then C-EC is a field.
- (Rettinger and Z. 2001) x is dbc iff there is a computable function h such that x is h-e.c.
- DBC and BEC are a fields and BEC \subsetneq DCE \subsetneq DBC.



Computably Approximable Real Numbers (CA)

- x is c.a. (computably approximable) if it is the limit of a computable sequence of rationals.
- CA is a field, closed under computable function and $\mathsf{DBC} \subsetneq \mathsf{CA}$











Turing Reucibility Of Real Numbers

- $A \leq_T B$ if there is a Turing machine Φ such that $A = \Phi^B$
- $x \leq_T y$ if there are sets A and B such that $A \leq_T B \& x = x_A \& y = x_B$
- (Ko 1984) $x \leq_{RF} y$ (and $x \leq_{IRF} y$) if there exist computable (increasing) real function f such that f(y) = x. Then,
 - $-x \leq_{RF} y \Rightarrow x \leq_{T} y, \text{ but } x \leq_{T} y \Rightarrow x \leq_{RF} y$ (Computable modulus function of computable real function matters)
 - $-x \leq_{RF} y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_{wtt}^{R}$
 - $x \leq_{IRF} y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_{tt}^{R}$

Turing Reucibility Of Real Numbers

- $A \leq_T B$ if there is a Turing machine Φ such that $A = \Phi^B$
- $x \leq_T y$ if there are sets A and B such that $A \leq_T B \& x = x_A \& y = x_B$
- (Ko 1984) $x \leq_{RF} y$ (and $x \leq_{IRF} y$) if there exist computable (increasing) real function f such that f(y) = x. Then,
 - $-x \leq_{RF} y \Rightarrow x \leq_{T} y, \text{ but } x \leq_{T} y \Rightarrow x \leq_{RF} y$ (Computable modulus function of computable real function matters)
 - $-x \leq_{RF} y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_{wtt}^{R}$
 - $-x \leq_{IRF} y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_{tt}^{R}$

Turing Reucibility Of Real Numbers

- $A \leq_T B$ if there is a Turing machine Φ such that $A = \Phi^B$
- $x \leq_T y$ if there are sets A and B such that $A \leq_T B \& x = x_A \& y = x_B$
- (Ko 1984) $x \leq_{RF} y$ (and $x \leq_{IRF} y$) if there exist computable (increasing) real function f such that f(y) = x. Then,
 - $-x \leq_{RF} y \Rightarrow x \leq_{T} y, \text{ but } x \leq_{T} y \neq x \leq_{RF} y$ (Computable modulus function of computable real function matters)
 - $-x \leq_{RF} y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_{wtt}^{R}$
 - $x \leq_{IRF} y \Leftrightarrow x \leq_{tt}^{R}$

- $\mathbf{D}(C)$ set of T-degrees of some set $A \in C$. (c.e. degrees, ω -c.e. degrees, etc.)
- $\mathbf{D}_R(C)$ set of degrees of reals $x \in C$. (degree of c.e. reals, degree of dbc reals, etc)
- There is a degree if c.e. real which is not a c.e. degree. $(D(CE) \subsetneq D_R(CE))$
- (Z. 2003) There are two strongly c.e. reals x and y such that $\deg_T(x y)$ is not ω -c.e. $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE}))$
- (Downey, Hu and Z. 2004)
 - Every ω -c.e. degree contains a d.c.e. real, (hence $\mathbf{D}(\omega$ - $\mathbf{CE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE})$)
 - There is a Δ_2^0 -degree which is not a degree of d.c.e real. $(\mathbf{D}_R(\mathsf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}(\mathsf{CA}))$

- $\mathbf{D}(C)$ set of T-degrees of some set $A \in C$. (c.e. degrees, ω -c.e. degrees, etc.)
- $\mathbf{D}_R(C)$ set of degrees of reals $x \in C$. (degree of c.e. reals, degree of dbc reals, etc)
- There is a degree if c.e. real which is not a c.e. degree. $(D(CE) \subsetneq D_R(CE))$
- (Z. 2003) There are two strongly c.e. reals x and y such that $\deg_T(x y)$ is not ω -c.e. $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE}))$
- (Downey, Hu and Z. 2004)
 - Every ω -c.e. degree contains a d.c.e. real, (hence $\mathbf{D}(\omega$ - $\mathbf{CE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE})$)
 - There is a Δ_2^0 -degree which is not a degree of d.c.e real. $(\mathbf{D}_R(\mathsf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}(\mathsf{CA}))$

- $\mathbf{D}(C)$ set of T-degrees of some set $A \in C$. (c.e. degrees, ω -c.e. degrees, etc.)
- $\mathbf{D}_R(C)$ set of degrees of reals $x \in C$. (degree of c.e. reals, degree of dbc reals, etc)
- There is a degree if c.e. real which is not a c.e. degree. $(D(CE) \subsetneq D_R(CE))$
- (Z. 2003) There are two strongly c.e. reals x and y such that $\deg_T(x y)$ is not ω -c.e. $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE}))$
- (Downey, Hu and Z. 2004)
 - Every ω -c.e. degree contains a d.c.e. real, (hence $\mathbf{D}(\omega$ - $\mathbf{CE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE})$)
 - There is a Δ_2^0 -degree which is not a degree of d.c.e real. $(\mathbf{D}_R(\mathsf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}(\mathsf{CA}))$

- $\mathbf{D}(C)$ set of T-degrees of some set $A \in C$. (c.e. degrees, ω -c.e. degrees, etc.)
- $\mathbf{D}_R(C)$ set of degrees of reals $x \in C$. (degree of c.e. reals, degree of dbc reals, etc)
- There is a degree if c.e. real which is not a c.e. degree. $(D(CE) \subsetneq D_R(CE))$
- (Z. 2003) There are two strongly c.e. reals x and y such that $\deg_T(x y)$ is not ω -c.e. $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE}))$
- (Downey, Hu and Z. 2004)
 - Every ω -c.e. degree contains a d.c.e. real, (hence $\mathbf{D}(\omega$ - $\mathbf{CE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE})$)
 - There is a Δ_2^0 -degree which is not a degree of d.c.e real. $(\mathbf{D}_R(\mathsf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}(\mathsf{CA}))$

- $\mathbf{D}(C)$ set of T-degrees of some set $A \in C$. (c.e. degrees, ω -c.e. degrees, etc.)
- $\mathbf{D}_R(C)$ set of degrees of reals $x \in C$. (degree of c.e. reals, degree of dbc reals, etc)
- There is a degree if c.e. real which is not a c.e. degree. $(D(CE) \subsetneq D_R(CE))$
- (Z. 2003) There are two strongly c.e. reals x and y such that $\deg_T(x y)$ is not ω -c.e. $(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE}))$
- (Downey, Hu and Z. 2004)
 - Every ω -c.e. degree contains a d.c.e. real, (hence $\mathbf{D}(\omega$ - $\mathbf{CE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}_R(\mathbf{DCE})$)
 - There is a Δ_2^0 -degree which is not a degree of d.c.e real. $(\mathbf{D}_R(\mathsf{DCE}) \subsetneq \mathbf{D}(\mathsf{CA}))$

- (Original Solovay reduction) $x \leq_S^0 y$ if there are increasing computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals and a constant c such that $x x_s \leq c(y y_s)$ for all s. (On CE only!)
- (Solovay property) $x \leq_S^0 y \Longrightarrow K(x \upharpoonright n) \leq K(y \upharpoonright n) + c$ for some c and all n, where $K(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}$ for a universal prefix-free machine U.
- x is random if $K(x \upharpoonright n) \ge n c$ for a c and all n.
- $\Omega_U := \sum \{2^{-\sigma} : U(\sigma) \downarrow\}$ where U is a prefix-free universal Turing machine. (Ω -numbers)
- x is Ω -like if x is complete for **CE** under \leq_S^0 .
- Ω -numbers $\approx \Omega$ -like \approx c.e. random
 - (Solovay 1975) Ω -number $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like \Longrightarrow c.e. random
 - (Calude et al 2001) Ω -like $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -number
 - (Kucera and Slaman 2001) c.e. randomg $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like

- (Original Solovay reduction) $x \leq_S^0 y$ if there are increasing computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals and a constant c such that $x x_s \leq c(y y_s)$ for all s. (On CE only!)
- (Solovay property) $x \leq_S^0 y \Longrightarrow K(x \upharpoonright n) \leq K(y \upharpoonright n) + c$ for some c and all n, where $K(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}$ for a universal prefix-free machine U.
- x is random if $K(x \upharpoonright n) \ge n c$ for a c and all n.
- $\Omega_U := \sum \{2^{-\sigma} : U(\sigma) \downarrow\}$ where U is a prefix-free universal Turing machine. (Ω -numbers)
- x is Ω -like if x is complete for **CE** under \leq_S^0 .
- Ω -numbers $\approx \Omega$ -like \approx c.e. random
 - (Solovay 1975) Ω -number $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like \Longrightarrow c.e. random
 - (Calude et al 2001) Ω -like $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -number
 - (Kucera and Slaman 2001) c.e. randomg $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like

- (Original Solovay reduction) $x \leq_S^0 y$ if there are increasing computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals and a constant c such that $x x_s \leq c(y y_s)$ for all s. (On CE only!)
- (Solovay property) $x \leq_S^0 y \Longrightarrow K(x \upharpoonright n) \leq K(y \upharpoonright n) + c$ for some c and all n, where $K(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}$ for a universal prefix-free machine U.
- x is random if $K(x \upharpoonright n) \ge n c$ for a c and all n.
- $\Omega_U := \sum \{2^{-\sigma} : U(\sigma) \downarrow\}$ where U is a prefix-free universal Turing machine. (Ω -numbers)
- x is Ω -like if x is complete for **CE** under \leq_S^0 .
- Ω -numbers $\approx \Omega$ -like \approx c.e. random
 - (Solovay 1975) Ω -number $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like \Longrightarrow c.e. random
 - (Calude et al 2001) Ω -like $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -number
 - (Kucera and Slaman 2001) c.e. randomg $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like

- (Original Solovay reduction) $x \leq_S^0 y$ if there are increasing computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals and a constant c such that $x x_s \leq c(y y_s)$ for all s. (On CE only!)
- (Solovay property) $x \leq_S^0 y \Longrightarrow K(x \upharpoonright n) \leq K(y \upharpoonright n) + c$ for some c and all n, where $K(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}$ for a universal prefix-free machine U.
- x is random if $K(x \upharpoonright n) \ge n c$ for a c and all n.
- $\Omega_U := \sum \{2^{-\sigma} : U(\sigma) \downarrow\}$ where U is a prefix-free universal Turing machine. (Ω -numbers)
- x is Ω -like if x is complete for **CE** under \leq_S^0 .
- Ω -numbers $\approx \Omega$ -like \approx c.e. random
 - (Solovay 1975) Ω -number $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like \Longrightarrow c.e. random
 - (Calude et al 2001) Ω -like $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -number
 - (Kucera and Slaman 2001) c.e. randomg $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like

- (Original Solovay reduction) $x \leq_S^0 y$ if there are increasing computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals and a constant c such that $x x_s \leq c(y y_s)$ for all s. (On CE only!)
- (Solovay property) $x \leq_S^0 y \Longrightarrow K(x \upharpoonright n) \leq K(y \upharpoonright n) + c$ for some c and all n, where $K(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}$ for a universal prefix-free machine U.
- x is random if $K(x \upharpoonright n) \ge n c$ for a c and all n.
- $\Omega_U := \sum \{2^{-\sigma} : U(\sigma) \downarrow\}$ where U is a prefix-free universal Turing machine. (Ω -numbers)
- x is Ω -like if x is complete for **CE** under \leq_S^0 .
- Ω -numbers $\approx \Omega$ -like \approx c.e. random
 - (Solovay 1975) Ω -number $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like \Longrightarrow c.e. random
 - (Calude et al 2001) Ω -like $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -number
 - (Kucera and Slaman 2001) c.e. randomg $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like

- (Original Solovay reduction) $x \leq_S^0 y$ if there are increasing computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals and a constant c such that $x x_s \leq c(y y_s)$ for all s. (On CE only!)
- (Solovay property) $x \leq_S^0 y \Longrightarrow K(x \upharpoonright n) \leq K(y \upharpoonright n) + c$ for some c and all n, where $K(\sigma) = \min\{|\tau| : U(\tau) = \sigma\}$ for a universal prefix-free machine U.
- x is random if $K(x \upharpoonright n) \ge n c$ for a c and all n.
- $\Omega_U := \sum \{2^{-\sigma} : U(\sigma) \downarrow\}$ where U is a prefix-free universal Turing machine. (Ω -numbers)
- x is Ω -like if x is complete for **CE** under \leq_S^0 .
- Ω -numbers $\approx \Omega$ -like \approx c.e. random
 - (Solovay 1975) Ω -number $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like \Longrightarrow c.e. random
 - (Calude et al 2001) Ω -like $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -number
 - (Kucera and Slaman 2001) c.e. randomg $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ -like

- $x \leq_S^1 y$ if there are computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converges to x and y, respectively, such that $|x x_s| \leq c(|y y_s| + 2^{-s})$ some c and for all s
- $\leq^0_S \equiv \leq^1_S$ on CE and \leq^1_S has the Solovay property
- $y \in \mathsf{DCE} \ \& \ x \leq^1_S y \Longrightarrow x \in \mathsf{DCE}$
- (Retinger and Z. 2005)
 - If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is computable and locally Lipschitz, and let d be c.a., then $S(\leq d) := \{x : x \leq_S^1 d\}$ is closed under f.
 - For any c.a. real d, $S(\leq d)$ is a field.
 - If d is c.e. random, then $S(\leq d) = \mathsf{DCE}$

- $x \leq_S^1 y$ if there are computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converges to x and y, respectively, such that $|x x_s| \leq c(|y y_s| + 2^{-s})$ some c and for all s
- $\leq_S^0 \equiv \leq_S^1$ on CE and \leq_S^1 has the Solovay property
- $y \in \mathsf{DCE} \ \& \ x \leq^1_S y \Longrightarrow x \in \mathsf{DCE}$
- (Retinger and Z. 2005)
 - If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is computable and locally Lipschitz, and let d be c.a., then $S(\leq d) := \{x : x \leq_S^1 d\}$ is closed under f.
 - For any c.a. real d, $S(\leq d)$ is a field.
 - If d is c.e. random, then $S(\leq d) = \mathsf{DCE}$

- $x \leq_S^1 y$ if there are computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converges to x and y, respectively, such that $|x x_s| \leq c(|y y_s| + 2^{-s})$ some c and for all s
- $\leq_S^0 \equiv \leq_S^1$ on CE and \leq_S^1 has the Solovay property
- $y \in \mathsf{DCE} \ \& \ x \leq^1_S y \Longrightarrow x \in \mathsf{DCE}$
- (Retinger and Z. 2005)
 - If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is computable and locally Lipschitz, and let d be c.a., then $S(\leq d) := \{x : x \leq_S^1 d\}$ is closed under f.
 - For any c.a. real d, $S(\leq d)$ is a field.
 - If d is c.e. random, then $S(\leq d) = \mathsf{DCE}$

- $x \leq_S^1 y$ if there are computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converges to x and y, respectively, such that $|x x_s| \leq c(|y y_s| + 2^{-s})$ some c and for all s
- $\leq_S^0 \equiv \leq_S^1$ on CE and \leq_S^1 has the Solovay property
- $y \in \mathsf{DCE} \ \& \ x \leq^1_S y \Longrightarrow x \in \mathsf{DCE}$
- (Retinger and Z. 2005)
 - If $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ is computable and locally Lipschitz, and let d be c.a., then $S(\leq d) := \{x : x \leq_S^1 d\}$ is closed under f.
 - For any c.a. real d, $S(\leq d)$ is a field.
 - If d is c.e. random, then $S(\leq d) = \mathsf{DCE}$

Convergence-Bounded Reducibility

- $x \leq_{cd} y$ if there is computable monotone real function h with h(0) = 0, and two computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converge to x and y, respectively, such that $|x x_s| \leq h(y y_s|)$ for all s.
- (Rettinger and Z. 201?)
 - $-x \leq_{cd} y$ iff there is a computable function $k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ which is non-decreasing and unbounded, and there are two computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converges to x and y, respectively, such that

$$(\forall n)(|y - y_s| \le 2^{-n} \Longrightarrow |x - x_s| \le 2^{-k(n)}).$$

- $-x \leq_S y \Longrightarrow x \leq_{cd} y$
- $y \in \mathsf{DBC} \& x \leq_{cd} y \Longrightarrow x \in \mathsf{DBC}$
- $x \in \mathsf{DBC} \iff x \leq_{cs} \Omega$

Convergence-Bounded Reducibility

- $x \leq_{cd} y$ if there is computable monotone real function h with h(0) = 0, and two computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converge to x and y, respectively, such that $|x x_s| \leq h(y y_s|)$ for all s.
- (Rettinger and Z. 201?)
 - $-x \leq_{cd} y$ iff there is a computable function $k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ which is non-decreasing and unbounded, and there are two computable sequences (x_s) and (y_s) of rationals which converges to x and y, respectively, such that

$$(\forall n)(|y-y_s| \le 2^{-n} \Longrightarrow |x-x_s| \le 2^{-k(n)}).$$

 $-x \leq_{S} y \Longrightarrow x \leq_{cd} y$ $-y \in \mathsf{DBC} \& x \leq_{cd} y \Longrightarrow x \in \mathsf{DBC}$ $-x \in \mathsf{DBC} \iff x \leq_{cs} \Omega$

Thank You for Attention