

Coarse Geometry

Jun.-Prof. Dr. Alexander Engel

Universität Greifswald

From Analysis to Homotopy Theory
A conference in honor of Ulrich Bunke's 60th birthday

Trivia about the project

- Started working on coarse geometry in 2015.

Trivia about the project

- Started working on coarse geometry in 2015.
- A (conservative) count finds 19 papers on the arXiv totalling 1367 pages and 7 different co-authors¹.

¹L. Caputi, D.-Ch. Cisinski, D. Kasprowski, M. Land, M. Ludewig, Ch. Wingses and myself.

Trivia about the project

- Started working on coarse geometry in 2015.
- A (conservative) count finds 19 papers on the arXiv totalling 1367 pages and 7 different co-authors¹.
- Quote from the first notes (dating back to November 2015):
There is no interesting homotopy theory on coarse spaces.

¹L. Caputi, D.-Ch. Cisinski, D. Kasprowski, M. Land, M. Ludewig, Ch. Wings and myself.

Main achievement of the project

In my opinion, the main achievement of the project is to provide axioms for coarse homology theories that work well:

Main achievement of the project

In my opinion, the main achievement of the project is to provide axioms for coarse homology theories that work well:

- Many examples, e.g. coarse versions of
 - ordinary homology,
 - topological and algebraic K -homology,
 - A -homology,
 - Hochschild and cyclic homology.

Main achievement of the project

In my opinion, the main achievement of the project is to provide axioms for coarse homology theories that work well:

- Many examples, e.g. coarse versions of
 - ordinary homology,
 - topological and algebraic K -homology,
 - A -homology,
 - Hochschild and cyclic homology.
- Non-trivial results:
 - Comparison of coarse homology theories.
 - Construction of coarse assembly maps and corresponding isomorphism results.

Main achievement of the project

In my opinion, the main achievement of the project is to provide axioms for coarse homology theories that work well:

- Many examples, e.g. coarse versions of
 - ordinary homology,
 - topological and algebraic K -homology,
 - A -homology,
 - Hochschild and cyclic homology.
- Non-trivial results:
 - Comparison of coarse homology theories.
 - Construction of coarse assembly maps and corresponding isomorphism results.
- Equivariant theory gives results on the Baum–Connes and Farrell–Jones conjectures.

Coarse structures

Definition

A coarse structure on the set X is a collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of $X \times X$ (called entourages) such that:

- The diagonal of X is an entourage.
- \mathcal{C} is closed under finite unions and subsets.
- \mathcal{C} is closed under flipping

$$U \mapsto U^{-1} := \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in U\}$$

and composition

$$U \circ V := \{(x, y) : \exists z \in X \text{ with } (x, z) \in U \text{ and } (z, y) \in V\}.$$

Metric coarse structure

Example

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Its canonically associated coarse structure is the one generated by the entourages

$$U_r := \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq r\}$$

for all $r \in [0, \infty)$.

Metric coarse structure

Example

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Its canonically associated coarse structure is the one generated by the entourages

$$U_r := \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq r\}$$

for all $r \in [0, \infty)$.

Remark

I think about a coarse space (X, \mathcal{C}) in the following way:

If $E \in \mathcal{C}$ is an entourage, then $(x, y) \in E$ means to me that „the distance from x to y is at most E .“

Coarse maps

Definition

Call a subset B of a coarse space (X, \mathcal{C}) bounded, if $B \times B$ is an entourage.

Coarse maps

Definition

Call a subset B of a coarse space (X, \mathcal{C}) bounded, if $B \times B$ is an entourage.

Actually, we consider bornologies as a separate structure on our spaces and demand that the coarse and bornological structures are compatible with each other.

Coarse maps

Definition

Call a subset B of a coarse space (X, \mathcal{C}) bounded, if $B \times B$ is an entourage.

Actually, we consider bornologies as a separate structure on our spaces and demand that the coarse and bornological structures are compatible with each other.

Definition

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between coarse spaces is

- *controlled*, if $f \times f$ maps entourages to entourages,
- *proper*, if preimages under f of bounded sets are bounded.

We call f a coarse map, if it is controlled and proper.

Close maps and coarse equivalences

If X and Y are metric spaces, then a controlled map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is not necessarily continuous.

Close maps and coarse equivalences

If X and Y are metric spaces, then a controlled map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is not necessarily continuous.

The appropriate notion of „isomorphisms“ in coarse geometry is the following one:

Definition

$f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ are close to each other, if $\{(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\}$ is an entourage of Y .

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a coarse equivalence, if there exists an $h: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ h$ and $h \circ f$ are close to the respective identity maps.

Main example

Example

Let M be, say, a closed manifold (equipped with any Riemannian metric) and denote by X its universal cover. Then, up to coarse equivalence, we have the following:

- X can be discretized.
Concretely, it is coarsely equivalent to $\pi_1(M)$.
- X can (often) be made contractible.
Concretely, it is coarsely equivalent to $E\pi_1(M)$.^a

^aProvided $B\pi_1(M)$ has a finite model.

Axioms for coarse homology theories

Let \mathbf{M} be a cocomplete stable ∞ -category and $E: \mathbf{Coarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be a functor.

Definition

E is a coarse homology theory provided

- E is coarsely invariant,
- E is excisive,
- E annihilates flasque spaces,
- E is u -continuous.

Flasque spaces

Definition

A coarse space X is flasque, if it admits a self-map $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that

- f is close to id_X ,
- f is non-expanding, i.e. for every entourage U of X the union $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (f^n \times f^n)(U)$ is again an entourage,
- f shifts X to infinity, i.e. for every bounded set $B \subset X$ exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B \cap f^n(X) = \emptyset$.

Example

The ray $[0, \infty)$ is flasque.

u -Continuity

If U is any entourage of a coarse space X , we can consider the new coarse space X_U with a (possibly) smaller coarse structure: The one generated just by U .

u -Continuity

If U is any entourage of a coarse space X , we can consider the new coarse space X_U with a (possibly) smaller coarse structure: The one generated just by U .

We have $\operatorname{colim} X_U = X$ and the u -continuity axiom demands that this holds for a coarse homology theory: $\operatorname{colim} E(X_U) \xrightarrow{\cong} E(X)$.

u -Continuity

If U is any entourage of a coarse space X , we can consider the new coarse space X_U with a (possibly) smaller coarse structure: The one generated just by U .

We have $\operatorname{colim} X_U = X$ and the u -continuity axiom demands that this holds for a coarse homology theory: $\operatorname{colim} E(X_U) \xrightarrow{\cong} E(X)$.

My interpretation for this is the following: Every cycle of a coarse homology theory has a „propagation“.

Coarsification via Rips complexes

Let U be an entourage of X . Define the Rips complex $P_U(X)$ as the simplicial complex whose simplices are exactly those whose vertices are at distance at most U from each other.

We have inclusions of subcomplexes $P_U(X) \rightarrow P_V(X)$ if $U \subset V$.

Coarsification via Rips complexes

Let U be an entourage of X . Define the Rips complex $P_U(X)$ as the simplicial complex whose simplices are exactly those whose vertices are at distance at most U from each other.

We have inclusions of subcomplexes $P_U(X) \rightarrow P_V(X)$ if $U \subset V$.

Definition

If E^{top} is a locally finite homology theory, define its coarsification

$$E^{\text{coarse}}(X) := \text{colim}_U E^{\text{top}}(P_U(X)).$$

Coarsification via Rips complexes

Let U be an entourage of X . Define the Rips complex $P_U(X)$ as the simplicial complex whose simplices are exactly those whose vertices are at distance at most U from each other.

We have inclusions of subcomplexes $P_U(X) \rightarrow P_V(X)$ if $U \subset V$.

Definition

If E^{top} is a locally finite homology theory, define its coarsification

$$E^{\text{coarse}}(X) := \text{colim}_U E^{\text{top}}(P_U(X)).$$

Nota that if X is (suitably) contractible, then $P_U(X)$ will be properly homotopy equivalent to X and hence $E^{\text{coarse}}(X) \cong E^{\text{top}}(X)$.

Cone functors

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define the cone $\mathcal{C}(X)$ as $[1, \infty) \times X$ with the metric given by $t \cdot d$ on a slice $\{t\} \times X$.²

²This is an ad hoc definition for this talk. The actual def'n is more technical.

Cone functors

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define the cone $\mathcal{C}(X)$ as $[1, \infty) \times X$ with the metric given by $t \cdot d$ on a slice $\{t\} \times X$.²

If E^{coarse} is a coarse homology theory, define the corresponding topological theory by

$$E^{\text{top}}(X) := E^{\text{coarse}}(\mathcal{C}(X), X).$$

²This is an ad hoc definition for this talk. The actual def'n is more technical.

Coarse assembly

Give a coarse homology theory E , we get a new coarse homology theory E^{as} by first turning E into a topological theory and then back into a coarse one again, i.e.

$$E^{\text{as}}(X) = \text{colim}_U E(\mathcal{O}(P_U(X)), P_U(X)).$$

This comes with a natural transformation $E^{\text{as}} \rightarrow \Sigma E$, the coarse assembly map.

Wrong way maps

Theorem (E. 2017)

Let M be a closed manifold and $N \hookrightarrow M$ a submanifold of codimension q . Assume that the normal bundle of N is oriented, that $\Lambda := \pi_1(N)$ injects in $G := \pi_1(M)$, and $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq q$.

Then there exists a „nice“ map $H_(BG) \rightarrow H_{*-q}(B\Lambda)$.*

Wrong way maps

Theorem (E. 2017)

Let M be a closed manifold and $N \hookrightarrow M$ a submanifold of codimension q . Assume that the normal bundle of N is oriented, that $\Lambda := \pi_1(N)$ injects in $G := \pi_1(M)$, and $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq q$.

Then there exists a „nice“ map $H_*(BG) \rightarrow H_{*-q}(B\Lambda)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_*(BG) & & H_{*-q}(B\Lambda) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 H\mathcal{X}_*^G(EG) \xleftarrow{\cong} H\mathcal{X}_*^G(\tilde{M}) \dashrightarrow H\mathcal{X}_{*-q}^\Lambda(\tilde{N}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & & H\mathcal{X}_{*-q}^\Lambda(E\Lambda)
 \end{array}$$

Thanks for your attention and
Happy birthday Uli!