Primary and Secondary invariants of Dirac operators on G-proper manifolds

Paolo Piazza Sapienza Universit`a di Roma (based on joint work with Hessel Posthuma, Yanli Song and Xiang Tang)

> From Analysis to Homotopy Theory. A conference in honour of Ulrich Bunke. University of Greifswald, May 16th 2024.

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Higher indices on G[-proper manifolds \(primary invariants\)](#page-4-0)

[Rho invariants \(secondary invariants\)](#page-24-0)

[Results](#page-25-0)

Equivariant index theory: a bit of history

▶ Atiyah, Bott, Segal and Singer extended the Atiyah-Singer index theorem to the case in which a compact Lie group G acts on the given compact manifold X and the relevant operator D is G -equivariant (commutes with the action)

 \blacktriangleright many subsequent contributions

- \triangleright Atiyah was the first to pass to a non-compact situation by considering the universal cover $\widetilde{X}^{2\ell}$ of $X^{2\ell}$ compact
- \blacktriangleright $\Gamma := \pi_1(X)$ acts properly, freely and cocompactly on \widetilde{X} and $X/\Gamma = X$
- **EXALLET Ativah defined a von Neumann index for a Γ-equivariant Dirac** operator D, $\text{ind}_{\text{vN}}(D^+)$, and proved that it was equal to the Fredholm index of the operator D induced on X
- ▶ Connes and Moscovici (Topology, 1990), using K-theory and cyclic cohomology, extended Atiyah's theorem, defining higher indices $\operatorname{Ind}_\alpha(\widetilde{D}^+)$, $\alpha\in H^*(\Gamma)$ and proving explicit formulas
- \triangleright there are (non-trivial) extensions of these results to manifolds with boundary (Donnelly, Lott, Leichtnam-P, Wahl, P-Schick-Zenobi, Chen-Wang-Xie-Yu); in this case the higher indices are parametrized by $\alpha \in HC^*(\mathbb{C}\Gamma,\langle g\rangle)$ with $\langle g\rangle$ an arbitrary conjugacy class. Need assumptions on Γ.
- ▶ Atiyah-Schmidt and Connes-Moscovici (Annals of Mathematics, 1982) extended Atiyah to non-compact Lie groups, considering the von Neumann index on G/K , with G a unimodular non-compact Lie group and K a maximal compact subgroup
- ▶ Hang Wang extended the result of Connes-Moscovici to an arbitrary G-proper manifold (will give definitions)
- \triangleright we are interested in generalizing the results for coverings to results in G-equivariant index theory with G a non compact Lie group, both on manifolds without boundary and manifolds with boundary
- \triangleright thus we consider equivariant index theory on G-proper manifolds, with G a non-compact Lie group.

G-Proper manifolds: gometric set up

We consider:

- \triangleright G a connected linear real reductive Lie group
- $K < G$ maximal compact subgroup
- \blacktriangleright (X, h) , a cocompact G-proper manifold, dim X even, $\partial X = \emptyset$, with a G-invariant riemannian metric h
- **►** proper: the map $G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $(g, x) \rightarrow (x, gx)$ is proper
- \triangleright Slice theorem: there exists a K-invariant compact submanifold $S \subset X$ s.t. the action map $[g, s] \rightarrow gs$, $g \in G$, $s \in S$, defines a G-equivariant diffeomorphism $G \times_K S \stackrel{\alpha}{\rightarrow} X$
- \triangleright D, a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded odd G-equivariant Dirac operator on X acting on the sections of a *G*-equivariant vector bundle $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$

A warning

- In let us consider $X := G \times_K S$
- **In the left there is a "product" Dirac operator** D_{split}
- it is stated in some published papers (not by me !) that $D_{\text{split}} = D$
- \blacktriangleright this is wrong !
- \triangleright several proofs in recent literature are affected by this mistake....
- in particular large and short time behaviour of $exp(-tD^2)$

K_0 -group

- Exect A be a unital algebra, for us a C^* -algebra
- $K_0(A) = \{$ formal differences of iso. classes of finitely generated projective A-modules} (Grothendieck group associated to the semigroup $Proj(A)$
- \triangleright a fin. gen. proj. A-module is by definition a direct summand of a free A-module of finite rank: $A \oplus \cdots \oplus A$ (n times, for some n)
- \triangleright thus a finitely generated projective A-module is the image of a projector in $M_{k\times k}(A)$ for some k
- \triangleright so, an element in $K_0(A)$ is a formal difference of projectors in $M_{k\times k}(A), k\in\mathbb{N}$
- \triangleright if A is not unital we work with its unitalization
- \triangleright if $A \subset A$ is a subalgebra which is dense and holomorphically closed then $K_0(\mathcal{A}) = K_0(\mathcal{A})$

G-Proper manifolds: compactly supported index classes

- \triangleright One has a G-equivariant pseudodifferential calculus with G-compact support $\Psi_{G,c}^*(X, E)$
- \blacktriangleright Can use this calculus to show that D^+ has a parametrix $Q \in \Psi_{G,d}^{-1}$ $^{-1}_{G,c}(X, E^-, E^+)$ with remainders $S_\pm \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,c}$ G,c
- $\blacktriangleright \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}$ $\overline{G}_{,c}^{\infty}(X,E)$ is the algebra of G -equivariant smoothing kernels of G-compact support.
- ► We set $\mathcal{A}_G^c(X, E) := \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(X, E)$
- \blacktriangleright We define the projector

$$
P := \left(\begin{array}{cc} S_+^2 & S_+(I + S_+)Q \\ S_- D^+ & I - S_-^2 \end{array} \right).
$$

 \blacktriangleright The compactly supported index class is by definition $\mathsf{Ind}_{\mathsf{c}}(D) := [P] - [\mathsf{e}_1] \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathsf{c}}(X, E))$ with $\mathsf{e}_1 := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$ We shall often forget about vector bundles in the notation...

G-Proper manifolds: C ∗ -index classes

- ► we now consider $C^*(X, E)^G$:= closure of $\Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(M, E)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,E))$
- \blacktriangleright this is called the Roe algebra;
- ▶ Ind(D) = : $[P]$ $[e_1] \in K_0(C^*(X, E)^G)$ is the C^* -index class
- ▶ Important: $\mathrm{Ind}(D) \in K_0(C^*(X, E)^G)$ is the class we are interested in !
- ► Indeed: (i) C*-index class of the spin-Dirac operator of a G-invariant PSC metric vanishes
- \blacktriangleright (ii) C^* -index class of the signature operator is a G-homotopy invariant
- \blacktriangleright Remark: we can give a different representative of this index class, the Connes-Moscovici projector:

$$
V_{\text{CM}}(D) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-D^{-}D^{+}} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^{-}D^{+}} \left(\frac{I - e^{-D^{-}D^{+}}}{D^{-}D^{+}} \right) D^{-} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^{+}D^{-}} D^{+} & I - e^{-D^{+}D^{-}} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Cyclic cohomology

 \blacktriangleright \mathcal{A} = Fréchet algebra over $\mathbb C$

Hochschild cochains of degree $k: C^k(\mathcal{A})$

- \blacktriangleright $C^k(\mathcal{A})$: all continuous $k+1$ -linear functionals Φ on \mathcal{A}
- \blacktriangleright Hochschild codifferential $b: C^k(\mathcal{A}) \to C^{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ $b\Phi(a_0\otimes\cdots\otimes a_{k+1})$ $\widehat{p} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \Phi(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k+1})$ $+(-1)^{k+1}\Phi(a_{k+1}a_0\otimes a_1\otimes \cdots \otimes a_k).$

► Hochschild cohomology of A is cohomology of $(C^*(A), b)$

- **>** a Hochschild *k*-cochain $\Phi \in C^k(\mathcal{A})$ is called *cyclic* if $\Phi(a_k, a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = (-1)^k \Phi(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$
- $\blacktriangleright C_{\lambda}^{k}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\text{cyclic cochains}\}\; ;$ it is closed under b.
- ► cyclic cohomology $HC^*(A) =$ cohomology of $(C_{\lambda}^k(A), b)$.

0-cyclic cocycles (traces)

$$
\blacktriangleright \,\, HC^0({\mathcal A}) = \{ \Phi : {\mathcal A} \to {\mathbb C} \,\,\text{ continuous } \,\, \mid \Phi(a_0a_1) = \Phi(a_1a_0) \}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \Rightarrow HC^0(\mathcal{A}) = \text{continuous traces on } \mathcal{A}
$$

 \triangleright we remark that there exists a natural pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HC^0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$

$$
\langle (p_{ij}), \Phi \rangle := \sum \Phi(p_{jj})
$$

 \triangleright in general there is a paring $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HC^{2k}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$
\langle [P], \Phi \rangle = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}} \Phi(p_{i_0 i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_{2k} i_0})
$$

Higher numeric indices

- ► we want to extract numbers out of the C*-index class $\mathrm{Ind}(D)\in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{C}^*(X)^G)$
- \triangleright first we extract numbers out of the compactly supported index class $\mathsf{Ind}_c(D) \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X))$
- ▶ we use the cyclic cohomology groups $HC^*(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X))$
- ▶ and the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X)) \otimes HC^{\rm even}(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ ⇒ want cyclic cocycles for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_G^c(X)$

 \triangleright next we want to (1) find a dense and holomorphically closed subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(X)$ of $C^*(X)^G$ such that

$$
\mathcal{A}_G^c(X) \subset \mathcal{A}_G^{\infty}(X) \subset C^*(X)^G
$$

(this will ensure that $K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(X)) = K_0(C^*(X)^G)$) and (2) EXTEND the cyclic cocycles from $A_G^c(X)$ to $A_G^{\infty}(X)$ \blacktriangleright the latter step, which is analytical, is often quite technical

Cyclic cocycles from group cocycles

- ▶ we start with cyclic cocycles for $C_c^{\infty}(G)$
- ▶ we first define $H^*_{\text{diff}}(G)$ and prove that there is a morphism $H^*_{\text{diff}}(\mathsf{G}) \to H\mathsf{C}^*(\mathsf{C}^\infty_\mathsf{c}(\mathsf{G}))$
- ► let's define $H^*_{\text{diff}}(G)$: we consider $C^{\infty}(G^{\times k})$; $\delta: C^\infty(G^{\times k}) \to C^\infty(G^{\times k})$ defined by $\delta(\varphi)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) = \varphi(g_2, \dots, g_{k+1}) - \varphi(g_1 g_2, \dots, g_{k+1}) +$ $\cdots + (-1)^k \varphi(g_1, \cdots, g_k g_{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+1} \varphi(g_1, \cdots, g_k).$
- ► differentiable group cohomology $H^*_{\text{diff}}(G)$ is the cohomology of $(C^{\infty}(G^{\times*}), \delta)$
- ▶ there is a Van Est isomorphism $H^*_{\text{diff}}(G) = H^*_{\text{inv}}(G/K)$

Cyclic cocycles from group cocycles (cont)

► let
$$
\varphi \in H_{\text{diff}}^k(G)
$$

\n► let $a_0, ..., a_k \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$
\nDefine $\tau_{\varphi}(a_0, ..., a_k) :=$
\n
$$
\int_{G^{\times k}} \varphi(e, g_1, ..., g_1 \cdots g_k) a_0((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}) a_1(g_1) \cdots a_k(g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k
$$

\nProposition: $\tau_{\varphi} \in HC^*(C_c^{\infty}(G))$

Conclusion: we have defined a map $H^*_{\text{diff}}(G) \ni \varphi \longrightarrow \tau_{\varphi} \in HC^*(C_c^{\infty}(G))$ which is a morphism

Example

 \blacktriangleright we take $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

- b observe that $K = SO(2)$ is a max compact subgroup and that $SL(2,\mathbb{R})/SO(2)=\mathbb{H}^2$, the hyperbolic plane;
- \blacktriangleright gSO(2) := \overline{g}
- \blacktriangleright define an element $\omega \in H^2_{\text{diff}}(\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ by $\omega(\textit{g}_0,\textit{g}_1,\textit{g}_2)=\text{Area}_{\mathbb{H}^2}\Delta(\overline{\textit{g}}_0,\overline{\textit{g}}_1,\overline{\textit{g}}_2)$ (geodesic triangle)
- ► τ_{ω} is the following cyclic 2-cocycle on $C_c^{\infty}(SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$:

 $\tau_{\omega}(f_0, f_1, f_2) :=$

Z $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^{\times 2}$ $f_0((g_1g_2)^{-1})f_1(g_1)f_2(g_2)$ Area $_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\Delta(\overline{e},\overline{g}_1,\overline{g}_2))$ d g_1 d g_2 Cyclic cocycles from orbital integrals

 \triangleright Given $g \in G$ semisimple we consider the so called orbital integral tr_g : if $Z := Z_G(g)$ and $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ then

$$
\mathrm{tr}_g(f):=\int_{G/Z}f(xgx^{-1})d(xZ).
$$

- Proposition: tr_{σ} is a trace and hence defines a class $\mathrm{tr}_\mathcal{g} \in HC^0(\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G}))$
- \triangleright tr_g does not come from the differentiable cohomology of G; it is a delocalized cocycle

Cyclic cocycles from higher orbital integrals

 \triangleright Song and Tang defined for each $P < G$ cuspidal parabolic subgroup with Langlands decomposition $P = MAN$. $m := \dim A$ and $g \in M$ semisimple an element

 $\Phi_{\mathcal{g}}^P \in HC^m(\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G}))$

- \blacktriangleright these are higher delocalized cocycles
- \triangleright we skip the definition which is somewhat involved

Cyclic cocycles for $\mathcal{A}_G^c(X, E)$

► given $\varphi \in H^n_{\text{diff}}(G)$ we have defined $\tau_{\varphi} \in HC^n(C_c^{\infty}(G))$ ightharpoonup and the type $\tau^X_\varphi \in HC^n(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X)).$ $\blacktriangleright \tau^X_\varphi(k_0,\ldots,k_n)$ is

$$
\int_{G^k}\int_{X^{(k+1)}}c(x_0)\cdots c(x_n)k_0(x_0,g_1x_1)\cdots k_n(x_n,(g_1\cdots g_n)^{-1}x_0)
$$

$$
\varphi(e,g_1,g_1g_2,\ldots,g_1\cdots g_n)dx_0\cdots dx_ndg_1\cdots dg_n.
$$

c is a cut-off funct. on X: $\int_G c(g^{-1}x)dg = 1 \ \forall x \in X$ ▶ similarly, from tr_g we define $\text{tr}_g^X \in HC^0(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X))$:

$$
\mathrm{tr}_g^X(k) := \int_{G/Z} \int_X c(hgh^{-1}x) \mathrm{tr}(hgh^{-1} \kappa(hg^{-1}h^{-1}x, x)) dx d(hZ)
$$

► and similarly, from $\Phi_{g}^{P} \in HC^{m}(C_{c}^{\infty}(G))$ we can define $\Phi^P_{X,g}\in HC^m(\mathcal{A}_G^c(X))$

Extension of cyclic cocycles on G

- ▶ we have defined cyclic cocycles on $C_c^{\infty}(G)$
- \triangleright we must fix a dense holomorphically closed subalgebra of $C_r^*(G)$
- In the Harish-Chandra algebra $C(G)$ is such a subalgebra
- \triangleright C(G) is made of functions of "rapid decay" on G

$$
\blacktriangleright C_c^{\infty}(G) \subset C(G) \subset C_r^*(G)
$$

- **I** Theorem: the cyclic cocycles τ_{φ} extend continuously to $\mathcal{C}(G)$ (P-Posthuma 2020)
- **IDED** Theorem: the cyclic cocycle $tr_{\mathcal{E}}$ extends continuously to $\mathcal{C}(G)$ (Harish-Chandra)
- **►** Theorem: the cyclic cocycles Φ_g^P extend continuously to $C(G)$ (Song-Tang 2018)

Harish-Chandra smoothing operators

▶ go back to $\mathcal{A}_G^c(X) := \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(X)$, the smoothing G-equivariant operators on X of G-compact support

recall slice theorem: $X = G \times_K S$

- **►** as a consequence $A_G^c(X) = (C_c^{\infty}(G) \widehat{\otimes} \Psi^{-\infty}(S))^{K \times K}$
- \triangleright define $\mathcal{A}_{G}^{\infty}(X) := (\mathcal{C}(G) \widehat{\otimes} \Psi^{-\infty}(S))^{K \times K}$ these are the Harish-Chandra smoothing operators
- ▶ $\mathcal{A}_{G}^{\infty}(X)$ dense and holomorphically closed in $C^{*}(X)^{G}$
- **F** from extension properties of τ_{φ} , tr_{g} , $\Phi_{g}^{P} \Rightarrow$

 $\tau_\varphi^X\,, \quad {\rm tr}_g^X\,, \quad \Phi_{X,g}^P\,$ extend continuously to $\,{\mathcal A}_G^\infty(X)\,$

Higher indices

▶ Theorem (P-Postuma 2020) The Connes-Moscovici projector

$$
V_{\text{CM}}(D) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-D^{-}D^{+}} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^{-}D^{+}} \left(\frac{I - e^{-D^{-}D^{+}}}{D^{-}D^{+}} \right) D^{-} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^{+}D^{-}} D^{+} & I - e^{-D^{+}D^{-}} \end{pmatrix}
$$

has entries in $\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(X)$

 \blacktriangleright this defines a "smooth" index class: $\mathsf{Ind}_\infty(D) \in \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(X)) = \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{C}^*(X)^G)$

 \triangleright we define higher indices by pairing:

$$
\langle \operatorname{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \tau_{\varphi}^X\rangle\,,\quad \langle \operatorname{Ind}_{\infty}(D), {\rm tr}_{g}^X\rangle\,,\quad \langle \operatorname{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \Phi_{X,g}^P\rangle
$$

 \blacktriangleright there are, correspondingly, 3 index theorems

Higher index formulae

▶ Pflaum-Posthuma-Tang give a formula $\langle \mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \tau_{\varphi}^{\chi} \rangle$: if $\varphi \in H^{2p}_{\rm diff}(\mathsf{G})$

$$
\mathsf{Ind}_{\varphi}(D) := \langle \mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \tau_{\varphi}^{X} \rangle = C(p) \int_{X} cAS(X) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}
$$

with c a cut-off function, ω_{φ} an explicit closed G-invariant form on X .

 \triangleright Pflaum-Posthuma-Tang use algebraic index theorem of Nest-Tsygan; P-Posthuma gave a heat kernel proof using Getzler rescaling

Higher genera

Consider the signature operator D^{sign} and, if X admits a G-invariant spin structure, the spin-Dirac operator D^{spin} . Then up to the factor $C(p)$,

- ▶ Ind $_{\varphi}(D^{\operatorname{sign}})$ equals $\int_X c\, L(X) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}$ with c a a cut-off funct.
- ► Ind_{φ} $(D^{\rm spin})$ equals $\int_X c \,\widehat{A}(X) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}$
- \triangleright define the higher signature associated to $\lbrack \varphi \rbrack$ as $\sigma(X,[\varphi]) := \int_X c\ L(X) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}$
- ▶ define the higher \widehat{A} genus as $\widehat{A}(X,[\varphi]) := \int_X c \,\widehat{A}(X) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}$
- index class of the signature operator is a G -homotopy invariant (Fukumoto)
- I index class of spin-Dirac operator vanishes if ∃ a G-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature (Guo-Mathai-Wang)
- In thus, under our assumptions, $\sigma(X, [\varphi])$ is a G-homotopy invariant and $\widehat{A}(X, [\varphi])$ vanishes in the presence of PSC.

Delocalized (higher) index theorems

 \triangleright Peter Hochs and Hang Wang proved the following index formula

$$
\langle \mathrm{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \tau_g^X \rangle = \int_{X^g} c^g \mathrm{AS}_g(X)
$$

- As \bigcup_{g} AS_g(X) is the Atiyah-Segal form on the fixed point set X^g
- \triangleright warning: they use the wrong operator....; corrected proof in P-Postuma-Song-Tang (August 2023).
- ▶ Hochs-Song-Tang give a formula for $\langle \text{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \Phi^P_{X,g} \rangle$ by a clever reduction to a 0-degree index theorem (à la Hochs-Wang) on the M-manifold X/AN with $P = MAN$:

$$
\langle \mathrm{Ind}_{\infty}(D_Y), \Phi^P_{Y,g} \rangle = \int_{(Y_0/AN)_g} c^g_{Y_0/AN} \mathrm{AS}(Y_0/AN)_g
$$

Questions

 \triangleright what about G-proper manifolds with boundary ?

- ▶ Can we prove a higher (delocalized) Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for cocompact G-proper manifolds with boundary ?
- \triangleright Can we define secondary invariants (higher rho numbers) for an invertible operator on a cocompact G-proper manifold without boundary by looking at the boundary correction term in these APS theorems ?
- \triangleright Are these higher rho numbers interesting invariants ?

Short answers

- ► for the cyclic cocycles τ_{φ} , $\varphi \in H_{\text{Diff}}^{*}(G)$ (and the corresponding $\tau_\varphi^\mathsf{X})$ a higher APS index theorem is proved by P-Posthuma (2021)
- \triangleright for the delocalized 0-cyclic cocycle tr_g defined by the orbital integral a APS index theorem has been proved by Peter Hochs-Hang Wang-Bai Ling Wang (2020) under the assumption that $G/Z_G(g)$ is compact
- ▶ P-Posthuma-Song-Tang : completely different proof and no assumption on $G/Z_G(g)$ (August 2023)
- ▶ P-Posthuma-Song-Tang : extension to perturbed operators $D + A$, with A a Lafforgue smoothing operators (quite a technical proof, August 2023)
- **If** for the delocalized m-cocycles Φ_g^P defined by higher orbital integrals this is again a result by P-Posthuma-Song-Tang
- \triangleright crucial technique: interplay between absolute and relative K-theory and absolute and relative cyclic cohomology

Precise statements: geometric data

- \triangleright Y₀ is a cocompact G-proper manifold with boundary
- \triangleright metrics, bundles, connections etc are all of product type near the boundary
- ▶ D is a G-equivariant Dirac operator; D_{∂} boundary operator
- \triangleright Y is the G-manifold with cylindrical end associated to Y_0
- ► if D_{∂} is L^2 -invertible than there exists a well defined $\mathsf{Ind}_{C^*}(D) \in K_*(C^*(Y_0 \subset Y)^G)$ (John Roe)
- ▶ we want to define higher C^* -indices and prove higher C^* Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formulas

Statements: higher APS indices

Theorem

(1) There exists a dense holomorphically closed subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{G}^{\infty}(Y)$ of $C^*(Y_0\subset Y)^G$ (defined in terms of the residual b-operators) (2) There exists a smooth representative $\text{Ind}_{\infty}(D)$ of the index class in $K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^{\infty}(Y)) = K_0(C^*(Y_0 \subset Y)^G)$. (3) The cyclic cocycles

$$
\tau^Y_\varphi \quad \operatorname{tr}^Y_g \,, \quad \Phi^P_{Y,g}
$$

are well defined in $HC^*(\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(Y))$ (4) by pairing we obtain higher APS indices

 $\langle \mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \tau_{\varphi}^{\mathsf{Y}} \rangle\,, \quad \langle \mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), {\rm tr}_{g}^{\mathsf{Y}} \rangle\,, \quad \langle \mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \Phi_{Y,g}^{\mathsf{P}} \rangle\,.$

Statements: index formula for τ_{φ}

- ▶ Because of time we skip the APS index theorem for $\langle \mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \tau_{\varphi}^{\mathbf{Y}} \rangle$ (P-Posthuma, Annals of K-theory, 2021)
- ▶ we concentrate on $\langle\mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \operatorname{tr}_{g}^{\mathsf{Y}}\rangle$ and $\langle\mathsf{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \Phi_{Y,g}^{\mathsf{P}}\rangle;$ these are delocalized (higher) APS indices

▶ we begin with $\langle \text{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \text{tr}_g^Y \rangle$

Theorem

Assume D_{∂} L 2 -invertible. Then the delocalized eta invariant

$$
\eta_{\mathsf{g}}(D_\partial) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty {\rm tr}^{\partial Y}_{\mathsf{g}}(D_\partial \exp(-tD_\partial^2)) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

is well defined and

$$
\langle \text{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \text{tr}_g^{\gamma} \rangle = \int_{(\gamma_0)^g} c^g \text{AS}_g(\gamma_0) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_g(D_\partial),
$$

With Posthuma, Song and Tang we have spent **a lot of** energy proving the following result (where we do not assume that the operator is a boundary operator):

Theorem

Let (X, g) be a cocompact G-proper manifold without boundary and let D be an L^2 -invertible G-equivariant Dirac-type operator. Let g be a semi-simple element. Then the integral

$$
\eta_g(D) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \text{tr}_g^X(D \exp(-tD^2)) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \tag{1}
$$

converges.

Perturbed operators

- In a second paper we also deal with $D_X + A_X$, $A_X \in \mathcal{A}_G^{\infty}(X)$, X without boundary and prove convergence of $\eta_{\mathbf{g}}(D+A)$ under the assumption that D_X+A_X is L^2 -invertible; large time behaviour rather delicate
- \triangleright we then prove a higher APS index theorem for operators $D_Y + A_Y$ with Y manifold with cylindrical ends and A_Y a lift of $A_{\partial Y}$ such that $D_{\partial Y} + A_{\partial Y}$ is invertible
- \triangleright the first result applies for example to the disjoint union of two G-proper manifold without boundary X_1 , X_2 for which there is a G-homotopy equivalence $f: X_1 \to X_2$
- Second result applies to two G-manifolds with boundary Y_1 , Y₂ with a G-homotopy equivalence f : $\partial Y_1 \rightarrow \partial Y_2$
- \triangleright on Galois coverings these results are crucial in connection with the surgery exact sequence in differential topology (Wahl, P-Schick)

Statements: index formula for Φ_{g}^P

• Next we tackle
$$
\langle \text{Ind}_{\infty}(D), \Phi_{Y,g}^P \rangle
$$
.

Theorem Assume $D_{\partial} \gamma$ L 2 -invertible. Then

$$
\langle \mathrm{Ind}_{\infty}(D_Y), \Phi^P_{Y,g} \rangle = \int_{(Y_0/AN)_{g}} c^g_{Y_0/AN} \mathrm{AS}(Y_0/AN)_{g} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_g(D_{\partial(Y_0/AN)})
$$

This is proved in P-Postuma-Song-Tang by jazzing-up to manifolds with boundary the reduction procedure of Hochs-Song-Tang and then applying the previous theorem.

rho numbers

- \blacktriangleright Let X a G-proper manifold without boundary. Assume we have a G-equivariant spin structure.
- \triangleright if h is a G-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature then we can define

$$
\rho_{g}(h)=\eta_{g}(D_{h})
$$

ightharpoonup with when contained $\rho_g^P(h)$

- \triangleright if g does not have fixed points then these are invariants for equivariant concordance and equivariant psc-bordism
- \triangleright we could similarly define a rho number associated to a G-equivariant homotopy equivalence $f : X \to X'$ by using the Fukumoto-Hilsum-Skandalis perturbation A(f) and considering

$$
\rho_{\mathsf{g}}(\mathsf{f}) := \eta_{\mathsf{g}}(D^{\operatorname{sign}} + \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{F}))
$$

 \triangleright connection with G-h-cobordism through our APS theorem

Papers

- ▶ P.P. and Hessel B. Posthuma, Higher genera for proper actions of Lie groups, Ann. K-Theory 4 (2019)
- ▶ P.P. and Hessel B. Posthuma, Higher genera for proper actions of Lie groups, II: the case of manifolds with boundary, Ann. K-Theory 6 (2021)
- ▶ P.P., Hessel B. Posthuma, Yanli Song, and Xiang Tang, Higher Orbital Integrals, Rho Numbers and Index Theory, arXiv August 2023
- ▶ P.P., Hessel B. Posthuma, Yanli Song, and Xiang Tang, Heat kernels of perturbed operators and index theory on G-proper manifolds, arXiv August 2023
- ▶ P.P. and Xiang Tang, Primary and secondary invariants of Dirac operators on G-proper manifolds Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume 105, 311-351, 2023. Proceedings of the conference, Cyclic cohomology at 40. Achievements and future prospects.

This is a survey !! Last version on arxiv is the best...

Thank You Happy Birthday to Ulrich !!